

By: ~~February 2008~~ Entertainment News Editor

## [Sharon Stone and Another Dead Animal](#)

*It's Cruella de Vil all over again*

I think the people at PETA must be either suffering from some sort of massive trauma, or they've been in a coma for the past year or so. Otherwise I can't explain how come they put together their little list of 2007's worst dressed people and they left out the very person who defines animal cruelty - in their terms, I mean. They were extremely critical (sometimes bordering on cruel and malicious - but still, rightfully so) towards the likes of Aretha Franklin or Kate Moss, but forgot to mention Sharon Stone, the one lady who has defied animal rights organizations - and common sense, sometimes - very obviously and on very many occasions. I don't know about you, but I would have bet anything I own on the fact that Sharon was going to top the list this year - when in fact, she wasn't even mentioned. So, what did Sharon do this time? Well, quite frankly, nothing unusual by her standards. She showed up at Elton John's Oscar party wearing a rat's paw pinned to her top. Yes, that's right, a real rat's paw - for good luck, as she claimed. Can anyone please send me a long, detailed essay that outlines all the possible and impossible reasons for which someone would like to wear dead animals' feet on their clothes? And don't tell me it's for aesthetic purposes - that excuse could work when it comes to fur (I said "could") but Sharon is already taking things to a whole new level. I'm taking bets: which piece of skin or bone will she opt for next time she goes out to a party? I mean, thank your lucky stars Sharon hasn't had a decent movie in years - can you imagine the kind of massacre that would take place if she decided she wanted a really glamorous Oscar gown? It would probably be made entirely out of fur, adorned with animal hooves and horns. I really hope someone out there decides to let Sharon know that we really don't like it when supposedly classy ladies wear pointlessly cruel accessories just for the sake of... I don't know, really... controversy? Aesthetics? You tell me, because I'm speechless on this one.